## REPOR DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arrington, VA 22202-302.	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	ID DATES COVERED		
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	•		Technical Report; 11/01/94-6/30/96		
	11/07/96	rinal lecinica	5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FORDING NOMBERS		
DYNAMICAL EFFECTS OF DELTA WING ACTUATORS ON STREAMWISE			N00014-95-1-0030		
VORTICES IN BOUNDARY LAYERS					
			4		
6. AUTHOR(S)					
Ron F. Blackwelder					
			a consociation on the state of		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
Department of Aerospace Engineering					
University of Southern C					
854 W. 36th Pl., RRB 101					
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1					
1					
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
Dr. Pat Purtell			Addition in inches		
Code 333					
Office of Naval Researc	h		1		
Ballston Tower One, 800	North Quincy St.				
Arlington, VA 22217-56	60		- AAA ALLLAA		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		Tu	UK1117 / ////		
		しづり	961113 003 -		
			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATE	TEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
}		.1:			
Approved for public release; distribution unlimited					
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)					
1					
			2 . 22		
Small delta wing	actuators have b	een constructe	ed and employed in		
a turbulent boundary layer to provide a disturbance in the wall					
region. The actuators oscillate perpendicular to the wall with					

Small delta wing actuators have been constructed and employed in a turbulent boundary layer to provide a disturbance in the wall region. The actuators oscillate perpendicular to the wall with small amplitudes of a few viscous scales. The oscillations set up a spanwise flow of low speed fluid near the wall that coagulates into low speed regions. Since other investigators have found that a spanwise flow near the wall can reduce the drag on the bounding surface, these actuators may be a convenient method to introduce such a spanwise velocity in the near wall region.

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2

14. SUBJECT TERMS boundary layer, tur	15. NUMBER OF PAGES  2  16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

# Final Technical Report (N00014-95-1-0030)

11/1 /94 - 06/30/96

Ron Blackwelder, Principal Investigator
Department of Aerospace Engineering
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1191

Scientific Officer: Dr. Pat Purtell Code 333

#### Description of the Scientific Research Goals

The goal of this project was to experimentally ascertain if a delta wing-shaped actuator with small amplitude oscillations could produce a disturbance near the wall that would affect the fluid flow there. The inherent objective was to find a mechanism that would not add significant momentum loss to the flow but still create a perturbation that would alter the near wall turbulent structure.

#### Significant Results Obtained

The experiments were conducted in the wind tunnel described by Swearingen & Blackwelder (JFM,182,255,1987) at the University of Southern California. The free stream velocity was maintained at 5.0m/sec during these tests. The boundary layer was tripped approximately 0.3m downstream of the leading edge of the test section by a row of small spheres. The actuator consisted of a stainless steel delta wing 2cm wide at the base with a 2.4cm chord mounted flush with the test wall. The stainless steel material continued beyond the 2cm wide base for an additional 2cm. This 2cmx2cm square section had a piezo-ceramic bimorph attached. This was connected to a variable frequency and amplitude power supply which expanded and contracted the two sides of the bimorph. This strain on the sides of the stainless steel provided the movement of the extended triangular actuator section. The power consumed was minimal since the bimorph is primarily a capacitor and had little dissipation. The thickness of the stainless steel was approximately 0.075mm and the bimoph section was 0.30mm thick. For comparison, the thickness of the sublayer (i.e., 5 viscous scales) was 0.30mm also. The delta wing could be oscillated between 1 to 300 Hz with amplitudes of the tip ranging up to 0.6mm.

The results were extremely interesting. When the delta wing was pointed upstream, the actuator did not seem to have any significant effect. However, when it was pointed downstream, the actuator produced two trailing low speed regions; each one lying about 1 to 1.5 cm off the centerline of the actuator. The magnitude of the low speed regions increased as the amplitude of oscillation increased. Their magnitude also increased as the frequency increased up to approximately 200 Hz.

To clarify these results, tests were conducted in a water channel to visualize the flow. The actuator appeared to be creating an "acoustical streaming" type of flow. As the oscillator lifted away from the surface, fluid appeared to move around the edges of the actuator uniformly along its edges and fill the void under the actuator. As the actuator moved toward the wall, the fluid under it was removed non-uniformly; i.e. it was squirted out in primarily the spanwise direction. The actual direction of the outward flow was a function of the free stream velocity and the frequency of oscillation. This low speed fluid collected at a location near to or beyond the location of the wingtip of the actuator and was eventually swept

downstream. Hence the two low speed regions were formed by oscillations of the actuator. In all cases the maximum amplitude of the oscillator was less than five viscous units.

Although these results are only preliminary, they do suggest that small delta wing actuators can affect the near wall region of the flow. The most interesting aspect of their effect is that they produce low speed regions with very small amplitude oscillations suggesting that they may provide a means by which the near wall turbulent eddies may be altered with very little expended energy.

### **Invited Presentations**

"Some Recent Results in the Use of Delta-Wing Actuators in the Control of the Streamwise Vortices" at the Workshop on Flow Control, Cargese Corsica, July 1-5, 1996.

"The Use of Actuators to Control the Near-Wall Structure in Bounded Shear Flows," Short Course on Flow Control, Notre Dame University, Sept 9-13, 1996.